ALLEN & ROBINSON V. F H. RED-

Before BICKERTON and FREAR, J. J.,

by the owner to a material-man upon the order of the contractor, may by agreement between the contractor and material man and in the absence of any other agreement with the owner. be applied first to cash advanced by the material-man for labor and then to materials furnished.

The iten provided by statute in favor of a contractor.

An shandonment of the work by the con-tractor after payment in full for the proportion of work then done, is not a bar to the enforcement of a lien for materials furnished by a sub-contractor before the abandonment.

An agreement of the con ractor to give sufficient evalence that the premises are free from liens and to indemnify the owner for payments made in dis-charging liens does not estop a ma-terial-man from enforcing a lien. An assignment to the material-man by the

contractor of all moneys payable under the contract, accepted by the owner "subject to all the conditions of the contract." does not estop the materialman from enforcing a lien. A material man is not entitled to a lien for

material which, though furnished to a contractor for a building, never was in-corporated in the building, but was delivered at the contractor's shop and by him disposed of for his own benefit. The notice of a lien for material furnished by a sub-contractor should show the nature of the material for which the

OPINION OF THE COURT, BY FREAR, J.

The defendant Redward contracted with the defendant Hawaiian Lodge to do, for \$7284, the carpenter work wrought and east from work and plas tering upon the building known as the Masonic Temple situated on the esterly corner of Hotel and Alakea streets in Honolulu. The contractor pletion and after \$4700 had been paid under the contract, this being more than was payable for the proportion of work then done. The Hawaiian Lodge thereupon completed the work at a cost exceeding the original contract price The plaintiff, S. C. Allen, doing business under the name of Allen & Robinson, claims to have advanced \$2392 cash for labor and to have fur-nished materials of the value of \$5194.45, including importation charges, to the contractor for this building. The \$4700 paid under the contract was all paid to the plaintiff upon the order of the contractor. The plaintiff now sues for a balance of \$2886 45 and interest thereon and claims a lieu on the building and lot, under the "Ac: to Provide for Lieus of Mechanics and Material men," Ch

21, Laws of 1888. The case was tried in the Circuit Court of the First Circuit, jury waived, where judgment was rendered for the paintiff for \$2834.79, besides inter-st, this b-i-g the amount claimed less to the amount claimed less to the value of materials shown not to have been delivered, and the lien was sustained for this amount upon the building and premises of the defendant Hawatian Lodge.

The twenty-thre- exceptions enu-merated in the bill of exceptions may be considered in substance under a

few heads. First, the exceptions to the following findings of fact made by the trial court, namely; that all the materialin question were delivered except certain items of the value of \$51.66; that the plaintiff advanced cash to the contractor for lab r; that there was an agreement between the contractor and the material-man that payment-

they must be, as in the nature of a The later California decision above third parties. The statute is to be verdict of a jury, cannot be set aside, cited appears clearly to have been at strictly construed as being in deroga-there being sufficient evidence to sus-

Secondly, evidence of the agreement

die-

amount payable to the principal contractor under the original contract.

Findings of fact by the trial court, jury waived, like the findings of a jury, cannot be set aside if there is sufficient evidence to support them.

(if it shall not exceed the value there of) upon such building, structure, railroad or other undertaking, as well as upon the interest of the own-r of as upon the interest of the owner of Payments made under a building contract such building, structure, railroad or

rial" and makes no distinction be tween contractors and sub-contractors. Other sections, 5 and 6, show clearly

agreed either between the owner and to the contract. The contract itself contractor or between the contractor and material man. It wou d naturally mean the price agreed to on one side at least by the "person furnishing the materials" and that would be the sub contractor if the materials were furnished by him.

There is not only no express or im-

to the price agreed between the owner and contractor, but the clause if it Sixth shall not exceed the value thereof," shall not exceed the value thereof," of the value of \$100, was delivered, not taked specification of the would seem to have been inserted at the building, on which the lien is vided that no such specification shall object for the purpose of preventing daimed but at the shop of the con- have been furnished before proceed collusion between the contractor and sub-contractor whereby they might otherwise bind the owner beyond the real value of the materials or labor. This clause would divided upon the question whether a lien may be sustained for material indeed, he would reflect the content of the content indeed, he would reflect the content of Indeed, he would ordinarily be es-

in such cases the only "price agreed to be paid for such labor or material" as may be furnished by the several manufacture of the same and that it would be unjust to require the materials furnished should be considered to the materials furnished should be considered to the same. may be furnished by the several ma-terial-men or sub-contractors is the prove that it was all used in a par price screed between them and the ticular building. ontractor

the work or material is furnished to a plication, give the contractor any aucontractor, that is, by a sub-contractor, laborer or material-man, "the owner may retsin from the amount expressly stipulates that the contractor payable to the contractor sufficient to over the amount due or to become due to the person or persons who filed the lien," may, at first glance, seem to indicate that the Legislature con-templated that there would be suffi clent to satisfy all liens out of the original contract price, and that there fore there was no intention to give because the owner has to some extent any further right. But this inference on the sound to sell his by no means follows. The subcontractor is given a lien directly on judgment of the integrity of the couthe property, not on the debt payable tractor. He is sufficiently protected, to the contractor; the owner is not as against the owner, by the presumpobliged to retain the money; be is morely permitted to do so as one means of protection to himself against the wrong or mistake or mability of the wrong or mistake or mability of the contractor. He is not permitted to the materials were sold to the materials were the wrong or mistake of mainty of the contractor. He is not permitted to retain the money contrary to the provisions of his contract, except after the notice of the lien has been filed, and yet that notice may be filed to retain the money contract to the owner or to the contractor with the express approval of the owner for use in a parficulty would for all the abor and material furnishment of the lien were claimed by the contractor for all the abor and material furnishment of the owner for use in a parficulty of the owner for use of the owner for all the abor and material furnishment of the owner for use of the owner for all the abor and material furnishment of the owner for use of the owner o the notice may be fired and the lien owner, and the materials are not deliv-

enforced after the time when under ered at the bailding, and a misapplithe usual teros of building contracts cation is made of them, it would corthe contractor would have been paid tainly be unjust to the owner to hold set aside and a new trial or fered. in full. It is clear, therefore, that sim liable The contractor is the Section 6 authorizes a retention of agent of the owner for the curpose of money payable to the contrac or, only purchasing suitable materials to be as a protection to the owner so far as there is any that may be retained, and that it does not imply that sub-con tis, the contractor's, own benefit tractors are to be bound by payments. The theory of the statute is made to the contractor according to that the material man may fol should be so amended as to specifically of their respective office; thus, "To the that it does not imply that sub-con made to the contractor according to that the terms of the contract.

should be applied, first, on account of the winds of the County, 77 Ib. 338; the cash advanced, and then on account of the materials furnished; that the count of the materials furnished; that the payments were so applied; that the payments were so applied to the payments were payments were so applied to the payments were payments were payments. The payments were payments were payments were payments were payments were payments were payments. The payments were payments. The payments were payments the lieu claimed was not for each ad thornia decisions were rendered, while ing. In case of loss under such cirvanced; that there was not such con resembling our statute somewhat, yet cumstances, it is, in our opinion, more resembling our statute somewhat, yet cumstances, it is, in our opinion, more fusion in the account that items for differed from it in several respects,— just that, as between innocent par-which the law gives no lien could not whether sufficiently to justify the decises, the loss should remain where it be separated by inspection; and that cisions made under them, we need not falls. The material man has duties to the materials were not furnished say. The wording of our own statute perform for homself as well as privi-solely on the credit of the defendant as well as the decided weight of au leges to e joy at the expense of others. thority requires us to hold that the He cannot act with carelessness and These findings of fact, regarded, as sub-contractor is not thus limited, throw the loss, if any, on innocent force

relating to application of payments Washington and New Mexico refused than others which are left unsecured was properly admitted. In the absence of an agreement upon this subject with the owner, it was competent
for the contractor and material-man to
agree upon the application of pay
ments made to the latter upon the
order of the former. The rules relat

to follow the Supreme Court of Cail. See Lucas v. Redward, 9 H.w. 23.

for its construing their statutes. The statute, which gives a lien to
persons "furnishing labor or material
statute. See Hunter v. Truck e Lodge,
to be used in the construction or repair of any building," is easily capaments made to the latter upon the
order of the former. The rules relat
in which a similar decision of the
off v. Everhartt, 74 Mo. 37; Cappin

property may be charged with a lien policy, on the ground that an owner in favor of a subcontractor or material o' property ought to compensate those man is not lowered to the amount pay- who add to its value by furnishing of \$1145.90, and for windows, doors, man is not limited to the amount payable by the owner to the contractor.

In a few States, subcontractors are
given no lien at all upon the property,
but a lien only on the debt payable by
the owner to the contractor. In many
States a direct lien is given on the
property, but with an express limitation to the amount of the original
contract price. Under these two
classes of statutes, the right of the
material-man has generally been held
to be controlled by the state of the
account between the owner and contractor—the material-man or sub-contractor—the material-man or sub-contractor—the material-man or sub-conwho add to its value by furuishing
materials in its improvement, and
that he may protect binned from ilathat he may prote

ractor under the original contract.

Our statute is of this nature. It each payment, if required, give suffi treated as surplusage. WARD AND HAWAIIAN LODGE,
No. 21, of Free and Accepted Masons.

Our statute is of this nature. It gives a direct lien upon the property to the sub-contractor without limit with reference to the original contract price. The statute provides:

"Section 1 Any person or association of the owners might be liable they might be any liens for which the owners might be liable they might be more spayable to the sub-contractor without limit with reference to the original contract price. The statute provides:

"Section 1 Any person or association of persons furnishing labor or material to be used in the construction or repair of any building, structure, railroad or other undertaking, qualified.

Our statute is of this nature. It gives a direct lien upon the property to the sub-contractor without first far any time there should be any liens for which the complete enumeration is worse than none at all, because it is more than none at all the contractor from filing a lien, but they do not estop a sub-contractor. But, is a claim merely for "materithey do not estop a sub-contractor from doing so. They imply, on the contrary, that such liens may be file! that the "no ice shall set forth the and provide for indemnity in case they amount of the claim, the labor or ma shall be filed. Evans v. Grogan, 153 'terial furnished, a description of the Pa, st. 121; Creswell Iron Works v. property sufficient to identify the O'Brien, 156 Ib. 172.

that subcontractors were intended to sub-contractor or material man is not limited to the amount payable under the original contract to the principal contractor.

The lien is "for the price agreed to be paid." This may mean the price agreed to be paid." This may mean the price agreed either the conditions of the cond was not assigned, but only the moneys payable under it, and, no doubt, the plaintiff could not recovered to the material man to file a claim mand." The lien was claimed for plaintiff could not recovered to the material man to file a claim mand. The lien was claimed for plaintiff could not recovered to the material man to file a claim. piaintiff could not recover on this sash, blinds, moidings, casings and assignment any moneys beyond what would otherwise have been payable sufficient description, as it showed the to the contractor. But the present "nature and character" of the de-claim is not for moneys payable by the terms of the contract; it is for the require a full itemized state-

tractor, who disposed of the same in ings were commenced." sati-faction of a claim for rent against him-elf.

sold for, but not actually incorporated more than that the claim may be sim in, a building. By some courts it is ply for "material". It means at least in, a building. By some courts it is topped from saying that the price be held that the contractor is the quasiagreed to pay exceeded the real value. agent of the owner, that the material- material should be shown. The pro-Again, as a rule the price agreed man is justified in trusting him, the upon between the owner and the con-tractor is a lump sum for all labor and has pre-unably selected him as one

Section 6, which provides that when does not, either expressly or by implication, give the contractor any authority to incur liability on his behalf terials and do all the work for a definite sum. The statute, it is true, makes the contractor the agent of the owner, against the wishes of the latter, but to a very limited extent only. The material-man is not justified in relying upon the honesty of the contractor

leges to e joy at the expense of others. rily giving preferences to certain cred The Supreme Courts of Nevada, itors for claims of no greater merit order of the former. The rules relating to the application of payments in Supreme Court of New Mexico is respected to; also Colter v. Frese, 45 Ind., Phill Mec. Liens. Sec. 287; 2 Jones. Phill Mec. Liens. Phill Phill Mec. Liens. Ph

tractor—the material-man or sub-contractor to the amount of the inditions of the terms "lumber" and tractor—the material-man or sub-contractor to the amount of the inditions of the terms "lumber" and tractor—the material-man or sub-contractor to the amount of the inditions of the terms "lumber" and tractor—the material-man or sub-contractor to the amount of the inditions of the terms "lumber" and the contractor in the lien is died. But courts must construe staticts as they find them.

Fourthly, it is obvious from the about the lien is died. But courts must construe staticts as they find them.

Fourthly, it is obvious from the about the lien is died. But courts must construe staticts as they find them.

Fourthly, it is obvious from the about the lien is died. But courts must construe staticts as they find them.

Fourthly, it is obvious from the about the lien is died. But courts must construe staticts as they find them.

Fourthly, it is obvious from the about the lien is died. But courts must construe staticts as they find them.

Fourthly, it is obvious from the about the lien is died. But courts must construe staticts as they find them.

Fourthly, it is obvious from the about the lien is died. But courts must construe staticts as they find them.

Fourthly, it is obvious from the about the lien is died. But courts must construe staticts as they find them.

Hawaiian Islands.

With expressions clearly showing that there is no limit, as in a few States. Under such statutes, courts have generally held that the material-man may have a lien for the reasonable value of the materials furnished by him, even though in excess of the contractor.

With expressions clearly showing that there is no limit, as in a few States. Under such statutes, courts have generally held that the material-man domment. The case would, of course, be otherwise if the statute merely subrodated the sub-contractor to the rights of the contractor.

With expressions clearly showing that there is no limit, as in a few States. Under such statutes, courts have generally held that the materials furnished before the aban domment. The case would, of course, be otherwise if the statute merely subrodated the sub-contractor to the rights of the contractor. f the contractor.

Fifthly, it was provided in the con"materials" only, and that the words

same, and any other matter necessary

quired the material man to file a claim sufficient description, as it showed the "nature and cha acter" of the de There is not only no express or implied limit of the sub-contractor's lieured to the price agreed between the owner and contractor, but the clause "if it shall not exceed the value thereof," shall not exceed the value thereof," shall not exceed the value thereof," so it is not for moneys payable by the require a full itemized state—enforcement of a lieu under the statute.

Sixthly, certain stairway material, of the value of \$100, was delivered, not tailed specification of the claim, pro-

> Courts elsewhere are about equally should be shown. The statute rethe material furnished." Tois means that the class or kind or nature of the vision that the notice shall set forth "any other matter necessary to relear understanding of the same" ly come within the generally accepted definitions of those words.

The statute is artificial, arbitrary. condition that he shall comply with the terms of the statute. The statute provides that the "lien shall not attach" untless notice, of the character de-cribed, is filed. As has been already said, the statute is to be strictly construed. It is in the power of the material man to give a proper description of the materials he has sold. It is reasonable to require him to do so, in view of the extraordinary favors extended to him. And this should be required in justice to the owner, purchasers, incumbrancers, other mate-rial-men and all other persons whose nterests may be affected by the lien. The reason has greater force when, as in this case, the materials are fur nished, not to the owner himself, but to the contractor and perhaps without any knowledge on the part of the owner. See Russell v. Bell, 44 Pa. St. 44; Phill., Med Liens, Sec. 349 If the

the judgment as against the defendant Redward, but as against the defend-aut Hawaiian Lodge the judgment is

While fully concurring in the result published: put into the building but not for the arrived at in the foregoing opinion, purpose of purchasing materials for which I feel compelled to do under low his material and hold liable limit the fiability of owners of build-We are aware that a different view him into whose building it has be- ings under liens fied by mechanics has been taken by some courts. See come incorporated and the value and material-men, this having been similarly the members of the Cabinet. Full-nwider v. Longmoor, 73 Tex 480; of which it has enhanced. This done in many of the United States The terms "Excellency," "Honorable,"

F. M. Hatch and W. A. Kinney for plaintiff; A. W. Carter and C. Brown for defendants. Honolulu, October 31, 1895.

Awarded Highest Honors-World's Fair, Gold Medal-Midwinter Fair. DR:



A pure Grape Cream of Tartar Powder. Free om Ammonia, Alum or any other adulterant. In all the great Hotels, the leading Mubs and the homes, Dr. Price's Crean Saking Powder holds its supremacy.

40 Years the Standard. LEWIS & CO., Agents, Honolulu, H. I.

COPPERPLATE PRINTING

AT GAZETTE OFFICE.

SHOPPING BY POST

It is a well-known fact that people's clothes wear

Has Started A

POSTAL ORDER DEPARTMENT

and will be pleased to send on request, samples and The assignment by the contractor to to a clear understanding of the same."

the plaintiff of all moneys payable under the contract was accepted by subject require a full or itemized accepted by subject require a f Scotch Tweeds and Ginghams, India Linens, Dimities and Prints; also Sheeting, Pillowcasing, etc. A single yard at Wholesale Prices.

B. KERR, P. O. BOX 306. Honolulu, H. I.

BY AUTHORITY. Has

It seems to us, however, that the nature or character of the materials should be character of the materials

FIRST DIVISION, ISLAND OF OAHU.

Finance for 1895.

William F. Allen, William A. Bowen, SECOND DIVISION, ISLAND OF MAUI, MOLOKAI AND LANAI.

D. L. Mevers, F. W. Hardy,

THIRD DIVISION, ISLANDS OF HA-WAIL W. S. Terry. South Hilo W. A. Hardy. (Richard Ivers North Hilo D. Hoskimos. (D. Forbes. R. C. Blackow. (Wm. Hookuanui, South Kohala Geo. Lincoln. Henry Renton, North Kohala Geo. Hall. (C. D. Miller. North Kons D. S. Lima. D. S. Waian. H. W. Greenwell. (T. C. Wills.

FOURTH DIVISION, ISLAND OF KAUAI AND NIIHAU.

U. Ikanka.

L. Desha

W. G. Smith, H. D. Wishard.

(Signed.) S. M. DAMON. Minister of Finance Finance Department. November 4, 7895 1141-2u

For "the information of the public the following resolution of the Executive and Advisory Councils of the Republic of Hawaii, passed July 12th, 1894, is re-

Resolved, that the President and mem-President," or "Mr. President," and and words of like import shall not be used in officially addressing the members of the Executive Council. 1700-3t

Interior Department-

BUREAU OF CONVEYANCES, I HONGLULU, Oct. 28, 1895.

Mr. D. McCorriston has this day been appointed an Agent to Take CORNER KING AND BETHELSTS. Acknowledgments to Instruments for Record for the Island of Molokai. THOS. G. THRUM.

Registrar of Conveyances.

Approved: J. A. KING. Minister of the Interior. 1703-3t

The following gentlemen have this day been appointed members of the Board of Fence Commissioners for the District of of Makawao, Island of Maui: W. F. Pogue,

John Wagner, A. Tavares, Jr. J. A. KING. Minister of the Interior. Interior Office, Oct. 28, 1895. 1703-3t

Foreign Office Notice. The President directs that notice be

HENRY E. COOPER, Esq.,

has this day been appointed Minister of Foreign Affairs and Attorney-General ad interim, vice F. M. Hatch, resigned, GEORGE C. POTTER. Secretary Foreign Office. Foreign Office, November 6th, 1895

The Daily Advertiser 75 cents a month. Delivered by carrier.

1785-3t

Any-Found

ln

A place where they employ better workmen than we do? Or where the cost of repairing furniture is so reasonable? Has anybody ever had any work done by us that was not SATIS-FACTORY BOTH IN PRICE AND WORKMANSHIP?

There is but one answer,

And yet we are doing better work today than ever. We are not only

REPAIRERS

Manufacturers

Furniture.

Think it over, you may have something in the house that needs touching up; if you think it will cost you a dollar, the chances are it will only cost you half that amount. Try it and see.

HOPP & CO.,

Furniture Dealers.

The New Comer is not only the ing, but the most impor-tant individual in the household. How careful the nother should he to prevent a foundation for robust maturity,

Angier's Petroleum Emulsion

(Practically Tasteless), is the babies' friend. . It cures coughs, croupy or otherwise. It puts flesh on the little bones and strength in the little frame. Physicians everywhere prefer it to cod-liver oil, because it is a foodmedicine that the little ones like to take, and it cures.

50 Cents and \$1.00. Angler Chemical Co., Boston, Mass.

HOBRON DRUG GO. EXCLUSIVE AGENTS.